CAEP Accountability Measures (2022-2023 academic year)

CAEP (Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation) has four annual reporting measures, which are used to provide information to the public on program impact and program outcomes. The CAEP measures are as follows:

Measure 1: Completer Effectiveness and Impact on Student Learning (CAEP Component R4.1)

Ohio's Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS) uses scores from standardized achievement tests to determine student academic growth and completer effectiveness. The information from the value-added data assists teachers linked to the students to better understand the impact of their instructional practices. The Ohio Department of Higher Education (ODHE) annually reports the value-added data of Ohio teachers to the institution which prepared them on the Metrics Reporting System. The table is split to reflect a new measurement scale that the Ohio Department of Education is using to indicate student growth.

				Associated Value-Added Classifications								
Initial	#	#	Most E	ffective	Above A	verage	Aver	age	Appro	paching	Least	Effective
Lic.	Tchrs	Tchrs							Ave	erage		
Effect.	Empl.	w/ VA										
Years		data										
2020-	52	21	N=8	38%	N=10	48%	N=2	9%	N=1	4%	N=0	0%
2021	52	21	N-0	5070	N-10	4070	N-2	570	N-1	470	N-U	078

			Light Blue Average	e – Above Growth	Green – Ave	rage Growth	Yellow – Below Average Growth		
2021- 2022	62	16	N=4	25%	N=10	63%	N=2	12%	
2022- 2023	53	12	N=4	33%	N=6	50%	N=5	42%	

This data comes from the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) which includes teacher effectiveness ratings as determined by their administrators after conducting observations of the teachers. It is a measure of teaching effectiveness. The Ohio Department of Higher Education (ODHE) annually reports the OTES data of Ohio teachers to the institution which prepared them on the Metrics Reporting System.

		UTES Classifica							
	Associated Teacher Evaluation Classifications								
Initial Licensure	# Accomplished	# Proficient	# Developing	# Ineffective					
Effective Year									
2020	4	9	<3	<3					
2021	<3	11	<3	<3					
2022	<3	5	4	<3					

OTES Classification Data

Measure 2: Employer Satisfaction and Stakeholder Involvement (CAEP Components R4.2, R5.3)

We have no data available for this measure at this time. The state has stopped sending out the employer survey due to lack of response. A consortium of Ohio institutions now administer the survey annually and collect data for the year so that there is benchmark data for each institution. The survey closes on June 1st each year. We have sent the other institution our information and our data for the year were to be sent to us in June 2023. Unfortunately we had 0 responses to the survey even with reminders being sent out.

We have been unable to host a stakeholder meeting. We are currently severely understaffed with only three full time faculty and we are all teaching overloaded schedules (16-20+ hours) each semester. There has not been time to plan a meeting or host one. We are searching for two additional full-time tenure-track faculty to take on some of the teaching duties and we are petitioning for a full time clinical faculty member to take on field director and accreditation director duties, including the hosting of stakeholder meeting each year.

Our revised plan is to host a stakeholder meeting in Fall 2024 and conduct focus group interviews with employers of our graduates as part of that meeting. The interviews will then be analyzed for themes identifying commonalities, strengths, challenges, etc. We have been able to hire the additional faculty and the accreditation/field coordinator that we so desperately needed.

Measure 3: Measures used to determine candidate competency (CAEP Components R3.3)

	Number Admitted to Student	Number Successfully Completing
	Teaching	Student Teaching
2020-2021	22	22
2021-2022	20	20
2022-2023	20	20

Graduation Rate from Preparation Program – Completion of Student Teaching

EdTPA Performance

The edTPA is a nationally scored performance assessment of student teaching. The scores can be disaggregated to examine performance across 15 different rubrics that represent best practices that the department can use to determine needed changes in our curriculum. The data can be viewed across programs

as noted below; or further disaggregated to individual degree programs. Scores are aggregated over 3 years due to our small size.

Test	Ν	Avg	Avg	Avg	Task 1	Task 1	Task 1	Task 2	Task 2	Task 2	Task 3	Task 3	Task 3
Name		Score	Score	Score	Avg -	Avg -	Avg –	Avg -	Avg -	Avg –	Avg -	Avg -	Avg -
		EPP	ОН	Nat'l	EPP	ОН	Nat'l	EPP	ОН	Nat'l	EPP	ОН	Nat'l
AgEd	16	46.6	45.9	45.3	16.5	16.1	15.3	15.5	15.4	15.2	14.6	14.3	14.7
Early	17	40.2	41.7	41.8	13.6	14.3	14.4	13.1	13.8	13.8	12.5	13.5	13.5
Child													
Elem Lit	4		41.7	43.2		13.6	14.3		13.9	14.3		14.0	14.6
Elem	3		40.7	43.1		13.3	14.2		13.9	14.4		13.5	14.6
Math													
MC ELA	1		45.4	45.7		15.9	15.9		14.7	14.8		14.7	15.0
MC Math	2		43.6	44.2		14.4	14.7		14.3	14.3		14.8	15.2
MC Sci	2		44.4	44.0		15.0	15.0		14.4	14.3		14.9	14.7
AYA ELA	2		44.2	46.1		15.0	15.6		14.4	14.80		14.6	15.7
AYA SS	8		43.3	44.8		14.4	14.9		14.3	14.7		14.3	15.1
AYA	4		38.3	40.2		12.1	13.2		13.0	13.3		13.0	13.8
Math													
Spec Ed	4		43.1	43.4		14.6	14.8		14.5	14.6		13.8	14.0

EdTPA Performance Data 2020-2023 by Rubric

Rubric Title	N=7	N=13	N=6	N=13	N=10	N=10	WC Avg	OH Avg by
	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	Fall	Spring	by Rubric	Rubric
	2020	2021	2021	2022	2022	2023		(2023)
1. Planning for	2.6	3.2	3.0	3.1	2.4	3.0	2.88	2.9
Content								
Understanding								
2. Planning to	2.3	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.4	2.8	2.65	2.8
Support Varied								
Student Needs								
3. Using	2.6	3.0	3.2	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.92	2.9
Knowledge of								
Students to								
Inform Teaching								
and Learning								
4. Identifying	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.4	3.0	2.87	2.9
and Supporting								
Language								
Demands								

		1	1	1				
5. Planning	2.9	3.0	3.3	2.8	2.3	2.9	2.87	2.8
Assessments to								
Monitor and								
Support Student								
Learning								
6. Learning	3.0	3.1	3.2	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.95	3.0
Environment								
7. Engaging	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.6	2.83	2.9
Students in								
Learning								
8. Deepening	2.6	2.7	2.3	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.57	2.8
Student Learning								
9. Subject	2.4	2.6	3.0	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.67	2.7
Specific								
Pedagogy								
10. Analyzing	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.5	2.62	2.6
Teaching								
Effectiveness								
11. Analysis of	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.62	2.8
Student Learning								
12. Providing	2.7	2.5	3.2	2.9	3.0	3.1	2.90	2.9
Feedback to								
Guide Learning								
13. Student use	2.1	2.0	2.8	2.4	2.7	2.5	2.42	2.5
of Feedback								
14. Analyzing	2.4	2.8	2.3	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.60	2.8
Students'								
Language Use								
and Content								
Learning								
15. Using	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.67	2.8
Assessment to								
Inform								
Instruction								
Avg Rubric Score	2.62	2.75	2.86	2.80	2.60	2.76		
Mean Composite	39.3	41.2	43.2	41.5	39.0	41.4	40.9	42.1
Score								
Range	30-43	34-50	34-56	32-51	30-58	29-52		
Composite								
Scores								
		I	1		I	l		1

This data is comprised of how many of our program completers took and passed the Ohio Assessment for Educators (OAE) content and professional knowledge licensure tests for their programs. The data is taken from the OAE scores reported to Wilmington College by Pearson.

	=			
Licensure Grade	N (Total # of	N (# of retakes)	Wilmington Mean	State Mean Pass
Band	candidates)		Pass Rate	Rate
APK (PK-3)	2	0	100%	86%
APK (4-9)	0	0	100%	84%
APK (7-12)	2	1	50%	94%
APK (Multi-Age)	1	0	100%	91%

OAE Professional Knowledge Exams – Data for 2022-2023

OAE Content Knowledge Exams – Data for 2022-2023

Licensure Program	N (Total # of	N (# of candidates	Wilmington Mean	State Mean Pass
	candidates)	retaking exam 1+	Pass Rate	Rate
		times)		
Agriscience	2	0	100%	100%
Early Childhood (P-3)	1	1	0%	82%
Elementary Subtest I	7	5	29%	68%
Elementary Subtest II	7	5	29%	56%
AYA English	1	0	100%	76%
Integ. Soc. Studies	1	0	100%	69%
MC Math	1	1	0%	72%
MC Science	1	0	100%	82%
MC Social Studies	4	1	75%	70%
Early Intervention (P-	1	1	0%	76%
3)				
Primary Education (P-	5	4	20%	86%
5)				
Primary Intervention	3	1	67%	92%
(P-5)3				
K-12 Intervention	6	2	67%	76%

OAE Foundations of Reading Exam – Data for 2022-2023

	N (Total # of	N (# of candidates	Wilmington Mean	State Mean Pass
	candidates)	retaking exam 1+	Pass Rate	Rate
		times)		
Foundations of	15	5	67%	67%
Reading				

Measure 4: Ability of completers to be hired

This data indicates the licensure and employment rates for program completers. It comes from the Ohio Department of Education data. The licensing rate was calculated as the percentage of completers who earned a teaching license for the state of Ohio. The employment rate was calculated as the percentage of licensed completers who were employed as public school teachers in the state of Ohio.

Licensing and Employment Rates for Program Complet	ers
Electioning and Employment Nates for Frogram complete	CIS

Academic Year	Completers	Licensing Rate		Employment Rate	
		N	%	Ν	%
2020-2021	22	17	77%	10	59%
2021-2022	21	15	71%	12	80%
2022-2023	20	15	75%	11	73%